arXiv:1606.02937v2 [math.FA] 2 Sep 2016

Uncertainty Relations in the Framework of Equalities

Tohru Ozawa[a], Kazuya Yuasa[b]

[a]*Department of Applied Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan*
[b]*Department of Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan*

Abstract

We study the Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty relations in an algebraic framework. Moreover, we show that some specific commutation relations imply new equalities, which are regarded as equality versions of well-known inequalities such as Hardy's inequality.

Keywords: uncertainty relations
2010 MSC: 81S05, 26D, 46C

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty relations as corollaries of equalities in a scalar product space. Moreover, we give a number of characterizations in the case where the associated inequalities are in fact equalities. Our presentation is based exclusively on an algebraic observation on the standard Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and could presumably provide a clear and explicit understanding of uncertainty relations from the point of view of orthogonality. As applications, we show that some specific commutation relations, in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of square integrable functions on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimensions n, imply new norm equalities in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which are regarded as equality versions of well-known inequalities such as dilation and Hardy type inequalities. In particular, we give a method of recognizing Hardy type inequalities in the framework of commutation relations of operators.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we characterize the Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty relations in the framework of equalities in a scalar product space. In Section 3, we give a number of examples of uncertainty relations on the basis of equalities in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In the Appendix, we summarize basic theorems on the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in an algebraic setting.

Throughout the paper, H denotes a complex vector space endowed with scalar product $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,) : H \times H \ni (u, v) \mapsto (u|v) \in \mathbb{C}$, which is linear (resp. antilinear) in the first (resp. second) variable. The associated norm is defined by $\|u\| = (u|u)^{1/2}$, $u \in H$.

There is a large literature on the uncertainty relations. We refer the readers to [8, 10, 6, 15, 26] and references therein.

2. Uncertainty Relations

Let A and B be symmetric operators in H with domains $D(A)$ and $D(B)$, respectively. In this and next sections, we use the terminology of operator theory (see [11, 23] for instance).

According to Mourre [19], we define the commutator $[A, B]$ as a sesquilinear form on $H \times H$ by

$$([A, B]\varphi|\psi) = (B\varphi|A\psi) - (A\varphi|B\psi), \qquad \varphi, \psi \in M \equiv D(A) \cap D(B). \tag{2.1}$$

It coincides with the usual definition $AB - BA$ on $D(AB) \cap D(BA)$, which is smaller than M. In this paper, we adopt the definition (2.1) to avoid the domain problem as much as possible (see [17]) and assume that

$$M \neq \{0\} \tag{2.2}$$

to avoid trivial cases.

Similarly, we define the anticommutator $\{A, B\}$ by

$$(\{A, B\}\varphi|\psi) = (B\varphi|A\psi) + (A\varphi|B\psi), \qquad \varphi, \psi \in M. \tag{2.3}$$

It is straightforward to verify that for all $\varphi \in M$,

- $([A, B]\varphi|\varphi) \in i\mathbb{R}$, (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}([A, B]\varphi|\varphi) &= -2i\,\mathrm{Im}(A\varphi|B\varphi) = 2i\,\mathrm{Re}\,i(A\varphi|B\varphi)\\ &= 2i\,\mathrm{Im}(B\varphi|A\varphi) = -2i\,\mathrm{Re}\,i(B\varphi|A\varphi).\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

- $(\{A, B\}\varphi|\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}$, (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned}(\{A, B\}\varphi|\varphi) &= 2\,\mathrm{Re}(A\varphi|B\varphi) = 2\,\mathrm{Im}\,i(A\varphi|B\varphi)\\ &= 2\,\mathrm{Re}(B\varphi|A\varphi) = 2\,\mathrm{Im}\,i(B\varphi|A\varphi).\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

- $(A\varphi|B\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(\{A, B\}\varphi|\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}([A, B]\varphi|\varphi)$. (2.8)

- $(B\varphi|A\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(\{A, B\}\varphi|\varphi) + \frac{1}{2}([A, B]\varphi|\varphi)$. (2.9)

We now summarize algebraic identities related to the Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty relations. The identities below are the direct consequences of the theorems in the Appendix.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\varphi \in M$ satisfy $A\varphi \neq 0$, $B\varphi \neq 0$. Then,*

$$\pm i([A, B]\varphi|\varphi) = \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\| \left(2 - \left\|\frac{A\varphi}{\|A\varphi\|} \mp i\frac{B\varphi}{\|B\varphi\|}\right\|^2\right), \tag{2.10}_\pm$$

$$\pm(\{A, B\}\varphi|\varphi) = \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\| \left(2 - \left\|\frac{A\varphi}{\|A\varphi\|} \mp \frac{B\varphi}{\|B\varphi\|}\right\|^2\right), \tag{2.11}_\pm$$

$$\begin{aligned}|(A\varphi|B\varphi)| &= \frac{1}{2}\left(|([A, B]\varphi|\varphi)|^2 + |(\{A, B\}\varphi|\varphi)|^2\right)^{1/2}\\ &= \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\| \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{A\varphi}{\|A\varphi\|} + e^{i\theta}\frac{B\varphi}{\|B\varphi\|}\right\|^2\right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{A\varphi}{\|A\varphi\|} \pm ie^{i\theta}\frac{B\varphi}{\|B\varphi\|}\right\|^2\right)^2\right]^{1/2}\\ &= \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{A\varphi}{\|A\varphi\|} - [\mathrm{sgn}(A\varphi|B\varphi)]\frac{B\varphi}{\|B\varphi\|}\right\|^2\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathrm{sgn}\, z = z/|z|$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\mathrm{sgn}\, 0 = 1$.

Remark 2.1. *The standard uncertainty inequalities follow directly from equality (2.12). Indeed, equality (2.12) implies the inequality*

$$\|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\| \geq |(A\varphi|B\varphi)| = \frac{1}{2}\left(|([A, B]\varphi|\varphi)|^2 + |(\{A, B\}\varphi|\varphi)|^2\right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.13}$$

which is called Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty inequality [10, 13]. It is further bounded from below by

$$\|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\| \geq \frac{1}{2}|([A, B]\varphi|\varphi)|, \tag{2.14}$$

which is known as the Robertson uncertainty inequality [12].[1]

[1] There are interesting developments on the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, beyond the Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty relations. See for instance [20, 21, 4, 28, 24, 14, 3, 16, 5] and references therein.

Moreover, characterizations of extremizers are given by:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\varphi \in M$. Then, the statements in each of the following Parts (1)–(5) are equivalent:*

(1) (i) $(\{A,B\}\varphi|\varphi) = \pm 2\|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(ii) $\|B\varphi\|A\varphi = \pm\|A\varphi\|B\varphi$.

(iii) $(A\varphi|B\varphi) = \pm\|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(2) (i) $i([A,B]\varphi|\varphi) = \pm 2\|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(ii) $\|B\varphi\|A\varphi = \pm i\|A\varphi\|B\varphi$.

(iii) $(A\varphi|B\varphi) = \pm i\|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(3) (i) $|(\{A,B\}\varphi|\varphi)| = 2\|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(ii) $([A,B]\varphi|\varphi) = 0$, $|(A\varphi|B\varphi)| = \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(iii) $2\|B\varphi\|^2 A\varphi = (\{A,B\}\varphi|\varphi)B\varphi$.

(iv) $2\|A\varphi\|^2 B\varphi = (\{A,B\}\varphi|\varphi)A\varphi$.

(4) (i) $|([A,B]\varphi|\varphi)| = 2\|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(ii) $(\{A,B\}\varphi|\varphi) = 0$, $|(A\varphi|B\varphi)| = \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(iii) $2\|B\varphi\|^2 A\varphi = -([A,B]\varphi|\varphi)B\varphi$.

(iv) $2\|A\varphi\|^2 B\varphi = ([A,B]\varphi|\varphi)A\varphi$.

(5) (i) $|(A\varphi|B\varphi)| = \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|$.

(ii) $\|B\varphi\|A\varphi = [\mathrm{sgn}(A\varphi|B\varphi)]\|A\varphi\|B\varphi$.

(iii) $\|B\varphi\|^2 A\varphi = (A\varphi|B\varphi)B\varphi$.

(iv) $\|A\varphi\|^2 B\varphi = \overline{(A\varphi|B\varphi)}A\varphi$.

3. Applications

In this section, we give a number of examples of commutation relations between operators in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as well as related norm identities which are regarded as equality versions of well-known inequalities. We follow the standard notation to denote a point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The associated Euclidean length is defined as $|x| = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$. The gradient operator is defined as $\nabla = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$, where $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ is the partial differential operator in the jth direction.

3.1. Momentum and Position Operators

Let $A = -i\nabla$ and $B = x$. More precisely,

$$A\varphi = (-i\partial_1\varphi, \dots, -i\partial_n\varphi), \tag{3.1}$$

$$B\varphi = (x_1\varphi, \dots, x_n\varphi) \tag{3.2}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$, compactly supported smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In fact, the natural domains of A and B are given respectively by

$$D(A) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n);\ \partial_j\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all } j \text{ with } 1 \le j \le n\}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$D(B) = \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n);\ x_j\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all } j \text{ with } 1 \le j \le n\}, \tag{3.4}$$

where the derivatives are understood to be distributional derivatives and H^1 denotes the standard Sobolev space of order one. Since $A\varphi$ and $B\varphi$ are $\mathbb{C}^n$-valued, the corresponding natural Hilbert space is given by $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C}^n)$ with scalar product

$$((\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n)|(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j|\psi_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_j\overline{\psi_j}\,dx, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\varphi_j,\psi_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C})$, $1\le j\le n$. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, all computations will be carried out on C_0^∞ and then on $M \equiv D(A)\cap D(B)$ by density.

Theorem 3.1. (1) *Let $\varphi \in M$ satisfy $x\varphi \neq 0$, $\nabla\varphi \neq 0$. Then, we have*

$$n\|\varphi\|^2 = -2\,\mathrm{Re}(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi) \tag{3.6}$$

$$= \|x\varphi\|\|\nabla\varphi\|\left(2 - \left\|\frac{x\varphi}{\|x\varphi\|} + \frac{\nabla\varphi}{\|\nabla\varphi\|}\right\|^2\right) \tag{3.7}$$

$$= \|x\varphi\|^2 + \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 - \|x\varphi+\nabla\varphi\|^2, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$|(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)| = \|x\varphi\|\|\nabla\varphi\|\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{x\varphi}{\|x\varphi\|} - [\mathrm{sgn}(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)]\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\|\nabla\varphi\|}\right\|^2\right). \tag{3.9}$$

(2) *Let $\varphi\in M$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $n\|\varphi\|^2 = \|x\varphi\|^2 + \|\nabla\varphi\|^2$.

(ii) $x\varphi = -\nabla\varphi$.

(iii) *There exists $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, φ satisfies*

$$\varphi(x) = e^{i\theta}\frac{1}{\pi^{n/4}}\|\varphi\|\exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

(3) *Let $\varphi\in M$ satisfy $x\varphi\neq 0$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $n\|\varphi\|^2 = 2\|x\varphi\|\|\nabla\varphi\|$.

(ii) $\|\nabla\varphi\|x\varphi = -\|x\varphi\|\nabla\varphi$.

(iii) *There exists $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, φ satisfies*

$$\varphi(x) = e^{i\theta}\left(\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\pi\|x\varphi\|}\right)^{n/4}\|\varphi\|\exp\left(-\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right). \tag{3.11}$$

(4) *Let $\varphi\in M$ satisfy $x\varphi\neq 0$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $|(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)| = \|x\varphi\|\|\nabla\varphi\|$.

(ii) $\|\nabla\varphi\|x\varphi = [\mathrm{sgn}(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)]\|x\varphi\|\nabla\varphi$.

(iii) *There exists $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, φ satisfies*

$$\varphi(x) = e^{i\theta}\left(-[\mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{sgn}(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)]\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\pi\|x\varphi\|}\right)^{n/4}\|\varphi\|\exp\left([\mathrm{sgn}\,\overline{(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)}]\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

Note that for $\nabla\varphi\neq 0$

$$\mathrm{Re}(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi) = \mathrm{Re}\,\overline{(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)} = -\frac{n}{2}\|\varphi\|^2 < 0 \tag{3.13}$$

and hence $\mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{sgn}(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi) = \mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{sgn}\,\overline{(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)} < 0$.

Remark 3.1. *In general, one of the statements in Part (2) implies any of the statements in Part (3). The converse implication holds if and only if* $\|x\varphi\| = \|\nabla\varphi\|$. *Moreover, one of the statements in Part (3) implies any of the statements in Part (4). The converse implication holds if and only if* $(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi) = -|(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)|$.

Remark 3.2. *For* $n = 1$, *the inequality*

$$\|x\varphi\|\|\nabla\varphi\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2 \tag{3.14}$$

implied by equality (3.7) is known as the Kennard uncertainty inequality, which is a version of the Robertson uncertainty inequality (2.14) specialized to $A = -i\nabla$ *and* $B = x$. *The vector* φ *saturating the Kennard uncertainty inequality (3.14) is given by (3.11), and is known to be a squeezed state in the field of quantum optics [25, 27]. If furthermore the vector* φ *satisfies* $\|x\varphi\| = \|\nabla\varphi\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|\varphi\|$, *it is reduced to (3.10), and is called coherent state [25, 27]. A tighter inequality than (3.14),*

$$\|x\varphi\|\|\nabla\varphi\| \geq |(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)| = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\varphi\|^2 + |((x\cdot\nabla + \nabla\cdot x)\varphi|\varphi)|^2\right)^{1/2}, \tag{3.15}$$

is available from equality (3.9) for $n = 1$, *as a special version of the Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty inequality (2.13). Inequality (3.15) is saturated by the vector* φ *given in (3.12), which is again a squeezed state. The family of the extremizers (3.12) of the Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty inequality (3.15) includes the squeezed state (3.11) and the coherent state (3.10) as special cases.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (1) Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$. Then,

$$2\,\mathrm{Re}(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi) = \int x\cdot\nabla|\varphi|^2\,dx = -\int(\mathrm{div}\,x)|\varphi|^2\,dx = -n\|\varphi\|^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|x\varphi + \nabla\varphi\|^2 = \|x\varphi\|^2 + \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi). \tag{3.17}$$

Then, equalities (3.6)–(3.8) follow, by recalling (2.5) and $(2.10)_+$. On the other hand, the identity (3.9) follows from (2.12), by noting $|\mathrm{sgn}\,z| = 1$ and $(\mathrm{sgn}\,z)^{-1} = \overline{\mathrm{sgn}\,z} = \mathrm{sgn}\,\overline{z}$.

(2) The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows from (3.8). If φ has the form (iii), then (ii) follows by a direct calculation. Conversely, if (ii) holds, then

$$\nabla\left[\exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right)\varphi\right] = \exp\left(\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right)(x\varphi + \nabla\varphi) = 0, \tag{3.18}$$

and therefore, for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$, φ is represented as

$$\varphi(x) = c\exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right). \tag{3.19}$$

Then, (iii) follows by evaluating $\|\varphi\|$.

(3) The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows from (3.7). If φ has the form (iii), then (ii) follows by a direct calculation. Conversely, if (ii) holds, then

$$\nabla\left[\exp\left(\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right)\varphi\right] = \exp\left(\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}x\varphi + \nabla\varphi\right) = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

and therefore, for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$, φ is represented as

$$\varphi(x) = c\exp\left(-\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right). \tag{3.21}$$

Then, (iii) follows by evaluating $\|\varphi\|$.

(4) The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows from (3.9). If φ has the form (iii), then (ii) follows by a direct calculation. Conversely, if (ii) holds, then

$$\nabla\left[\exp\left(-[\operatorname{sgn}\overline{(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)}]\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right)\varphi\right]$$
$$=\exp\left(-[\operatorname{sgn}\overline{(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)}]\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right)\left(-[\operatorname{sgn}\overline{(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)}]\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}x\varphi+\nabla\varphi\right)=0 \tag{3.22}$$

by noting $\operatorname{sgn}\overline{z}=(\operatorname{sgn}z)^{-1}$, and therefore, for some $c\in\mathbb{C}$, φ is represented as

$$\varphi(x)=c\exp\left([\operatorname{sgn}\overline{(x\varphi|\nabla\varphi)}]\frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|}{\|x\varphi\|}\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right). \tag{3.23}$$

Then, (iii) follows by evaluating $\|\varphi\|$.

□

We now rewrite (3.6) as

$$\operatorname{Re}\Big(x\cdot\nabla\varphi+\frac{n}{2}\varphi\Big|\varphi\Big)=0 \tag{3.24}$$

and regard (3.24) as an orthogonality relation. Then, as in [18] we notice that (3.24) yields a new equality:

Theorem 3.2. *The following equality*

$$\|x\cdot\nabla\varphi\|^2=\left\|x\cdot\nabla\varphi+\frac{n}{2}\varphi\right\|^2+\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2\|\varphi\|^2 \tag{3.25}$$

holds for all $\varphi\in D(A)$ with $x\cdot\nabla\varphi\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There does not exist $\varphi\in D(A)\setminus\{0\}$ with $x\cdot\nabla\varphi\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\|x\cdot\nabla\varphi\|^2=\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2\|\varphi\|^2. \tag{3.26}$$

Remark 3.3. *The inequality*

$$\frac{n}{2}\|\varphi\|\le\|x\cdot\nabla\varphi\| \tag{3.27}$$

as well as the nonexistence of nontrivial extremizers has been proved in [22]. Theorem 3.2 is recognized as an optimal description of (3.27) from the point of view of equalities.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The equality (3.25) follows from (3.24), by noticing that

$$\|x\cdot\nabla\varphi\|^2=\left\|\Big(x\cdot\nabla\varphi+\frac{n}{2}\varphi\Big)-\frac{n}{2}\varphi\right\|^2$$
$$=\left\|x\cdot\nabla\varphi+\frac{n}{2}\varphi\right\|^2+\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2\|\varphi\|^2. \tag{3.28}$$

Moreover, since

$$x\cdot\nabla\varphi+\frac{n}{2}\varphi=|x|^{-n/2}x\cdot\nabla(|x|^{n/2}\varphi), \tag{3.29}$$

(3.26) holds if and only if there exists a function $f:S^{n-1}\to\mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\varphi(x)=|x|^{-n/2}f\Big(\frac{x}{|x|}\Big) \tag{3.30}$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}$, where S^{n-1} is the unit sphere $S^{n-1}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n;|x|=1\}$. Then, $\varphi\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$\int_{S^{n-1}}|f(\omega)|^2\,d\sigma(\omega)=0, \tag{3.31}$$

which in turn is equivalent to $f=0$ and to $\varphi=0$, where σ is the surface element, namely, the Lebesgue measure on S^{n-1}. This proves the nonexistence of nontrivial extremizers of (3.27). □

In [22], it has been proved that the inequality (3.27) is equivalent to the standard Hardy inequality for $n \geq 3$. The following theorem describes such relationship at the level of equalities:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then, the equality*

$$\left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi \right\|^2 = \left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{n-2}{2|x|} \psi \right\|^2 + \left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 \left\| \frac{\psi}{|x|} \right\|^2 \tag{3.32}$$

for all $\psi \in D(A)$ follows from (3.25). Conversely, (3.32) implies (3.25).

Proof. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is dense in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = D(A)$ for $n \geq 3$, we may assume that $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. The following calculations are justified as long as $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ without restriction on the space dimensions. First, suppose that (3.25) holds for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Then, we define φ by $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}\psi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and the left-hand side of (3.25) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x \cdot \nabla \varphi\|^2 &= \left\| x \cdot \nabla \frac{\psi}{|x|} \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi - \frac{1}{|x|} \psi \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi \right\|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi \, \overline{\frac{1}{|x|} \psi} \, dx + \left\| \frac{\psi}{|x|} \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi \right\|^2 - \int \frac{x}{|x|^2} \cdot \nabla |\psi|^2 \, dx + \left\| \frac{\psi}{|x|} \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi \right\|^2 + \int \left(\operatorname{div} \frac{x}{|x|^2} \right) |\psi|^2 \, dx + \left\| \frac{\psi}{|x|} \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi \right\|^2 + (n-1) \left\| \frac{\psi}{|x|} \right\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

where we have used Gauss' divergence theorem, while the first term on the right-hand side of (3.25) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| x \cdot \nabla \varphi + \frac{n}{2} \varphi \right\|^2 &= \left\| x \cdot \nabla \frac{\psi}{|x|} + \frac{n}{2} \frac{\psi}{|x|} \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{n-2}{2|x|} \psi \right\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Combining (3.33) and (3.34), we derive (3.32) from (3.25) with $\varphi = \frac{1}{|x|}\psi$, noticing that

$$\left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^2 - (n-1) = \left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2. \tag{3.35}$$

Conversely, suppose that (3.32) holds for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Then, we define $\psi = |x|\varphi$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and all the computations in (3.33) and (3.34) can be traced backward to imply (3.25). □

In [23], the standard Hardy type inequalities of the form

$$\left\| \frac{\psi}{|x|} \right\| \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \left\| \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \psi \right\| \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \|\nabla \psi\| \tag{3.36}$$

are referred to as the uncertainty principle lemma. Here we have derived (3.36) as a corollary to (3.24), which is equivalent to (3.6), which in turn is regarded as an original form of the uncertainty relation between the position and momentum operators.

3.2. Generator of Dilations and Free Hamiltonian

Let $A = \frac{1}{2i}(x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x) = -ix \cdot \nabla - i\frac{n}{2}$ and $B = -\Delta = -\nabla \cdot \nabla$ with natural domains

$$D(A) = \{\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n);\, x \cdot \nabla\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \tag{3.37}$$

$$D(B) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n);\, \partial_j\partial_k\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all } j,k \text{ with } 1 \le j,k \le n\}. \tag{3.38}$$

The operator A is called the generator of dilations in the sense that one-parameter group of dilations $\{T(\theta); \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ defined by

$$(T(\theta)\varphi)(x) = e^{\frac{n}{2}\theta}\varphi(e^\theta x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{3.39}$$

satisfies

$$T'(0)\varphi = \frac{d}{d\theta}T(\theta)\varphi\Big|_{\theta=0} = iA\varphi. \tag{3.40}$$

The generator of dilations A and the free Hamiltonian B have a special commutation relation

$$[A, B]\varphi = 2iB\varphi \tag{3.41}$$

for smooth functions $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$, where the commutator is understood to be $AB - BA$ since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset D(BA) \cap D(AB) \subset D(A) \cap D(B)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\varphi \in M \equiv D(A) \cap D(B)$ satisfy $A\varphi \neq 0$, $B\varphi \neq 0$. Then,*

$$2\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 = 2(B\varphi|\varphi) \tag{3.42}$$

$$= -i([A, B]\varphi|\varphi) \tag{3.43}$$

$$= -2\,\mathrm{Im}(A\varphi|B\varphi) \tag{3.44}$$

$$= \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\| \left(2 - \left\| \frac{A\varphi}{\|A\varphi\|} + i\frac{B\varphi}{\|B\varphi\|} \right\|^2\right). \tag{3.45}$$

Remark 3.4. *As a direct consequence, we have the inequality*

$$\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 \le \left\|x \cdot \nabla\varphi + \frac{n}{2}\varphi\right\| \|\Delta\varphi\|, \tag{3.46}$$

which might be new. The inequality (3.46) relates the information given by the momentum operator, the generator of the dilations, and the free Hamiltonian.

Remark 3.5. *By (3.25), we already know that*

$$\|A\varphi\|^2 = \|x \cdot \nabla\varphi\|^2 - \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 \|\varphi\|^2, \tag{3.47}$$

which implies (3.27) directly, as stated in Remark 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. The equalities in (3.42)–(3.45) follow from (3.41), (2.5), $(2.10)_-$, and the equality

$$\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 = -(\Delta\varphi|\varphi). \tag{3.48}$$

□

3.3. Radial Derivative and Coulomb Potential

Let $n \geq 3$ as in Theorem 3.3. Let $A = \frac{1}{2i}(\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}) = -i\frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla - i\frac{n-1}{2|x|}$ and $B = \frac{1}{|x|}$ with natural domains

$$D(A) = \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n);\ \tfrac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla\varphi, \tfrac{1}{|x|}\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \tag{3.49}$$

$$D(B) = \{\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n);\ \tfrac{1}{|x|}\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}. \tag{3.50}$$

The operator A is regarded as a symmetrized radial derivative defined by $\partial_r \equiv \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla$. The squared length of the gradient has a pointwise decomposition

$$|\nabla\varphi|^2 = |\partial_r\varphi|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |L_j\varphi|^2, \tag{3.51}$$

where L_j is the jth component of the spherical derivative defined by

$$L_j \equiv \partial_j - \frac{x_j}{|x|}\partial_r. \tag{3.52}$$

At the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the unit outer vector is given by $\frac{x}{|x|}$ and it is orthogonal to $e_j - \frac{x_j}{|x|}\frac{x}{|x|}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, where $e_j = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is the standard unit vector in the jth direction. The corresponding one-parameter family of operators acting on functions are given by

$$(T(\theta)\varphi)(x) = \varphi\Big(x + \theta\frac{x}{|x|}\Big), \tag{3.53}$$

$$(T_j(\theta)\varphi)(x) = \varphi\Big(x + \theta\Big(e_j - \frac{x_j}{|x|}\frac{x}{|x|}\Big)\Big), \tag{3.54}$$

which satisfy

$$T'(0)\varphi = \frac{d}{d\theta}T(\theta)\varphi\Big|_{\theta=0} = \partial_r\varphi, \tag{3.55}$$

$$T_j'(0)\varphi = \frac{d}{d\theta}T_j(\theta)\varphi\Big|_{\theta=0} = L_j\varphi. \tag{3.56}$$

The (symmetrized) radial derivative and the Coulomb potential have a special commutation relation

$$[A, B]\varphi = iB^2\varphi \tag{3.57}$$

for smooth functions $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{C})$, where the commutator is understood to be $AB - BA$ since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \subset D(BA) \cap D(AB) \subset D(A) \cap D(B)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $n \geq 3$ and let $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $A\varphi \neq 0$, $B\varphi \neq 0$. Then,*

$$\|B\varphi\|^2 = -i([A, B]\varphi|\varphi) \tag{3.58}$$

$$= -2\,\mathrm{Im}(A\varphi|B\varphi) \tag{3.59}$$

$$= \|A\varphi\|\|B\varphi\|\left(2 - \left\|\frac{A\varphi}{\|A\varphi\|} + i\frac{B\varphi}{\|B\varphi\|}\right\|^2\right), \tag{3.60}$$

$$\|A\varphi\|^2 = \|\partial_r\varphi\|^2 - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4}\|B\varphi\|^2. \tag{3.61}$$

Remark 3.6. *As a direct consequence of (3.60), we have the inequality*

$$\Big\|\frac{1}{|x|}\varphi\Big\| = \|B\varphi\| \leq 2\|A\varphi\| = 2\Big\|\partial_r\varphi + \frac{n-1}{2|x|}\varphi\Big\|, \tag{3.62}$$

which might be new. The inequality (3.62) relates the information given by the radial derivative and Coulomb potential. To be more specific, (3.62) shows that the Coulomb potential B is A (symmetrized radial derivative)-bounded with relative bound 2.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. The equalities in (3.58)–(3.60) follow from (3.57), (2.5), and $(2.10)_-$. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\varphi\|^2 &= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla\varphi + \frac{n-1}{2|x|}\varphi \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla\varphi \right\|^2 + (n-1)\,\mathrm{Re}\int \frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla\varphi\,\overline{\frac{1}{|x|}\varphi}\,dx + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \left\| \frac{1}{|x|}\varphi \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla\varphi \right\|^2 + \frac{n-1}{2}\int \frac{x}{|x|^2}\cdot\nabla|\varphi|^2\,dx + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \left\| \frac{1}{|x|}\varphi \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla\varphi \right\|^2 - \frac{n-1}{2}\int \left(\mathrm{div}\,\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)|\varphi|^2\,dx + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \left\| \frac{1}{|x|}\varphi \right\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

to obtain (3.61). □

We now rewrite (3.57) or (3.59) as

$$\mathrm{Re}(B\varphi - 2iA\varphi|B\varphi) = 0 \tag{3.64}$$

and regard (3.64) as an orthogonality relation. Then, as in [18] we notice that (3.64) yields a new equality,

$$\begin{aligned}4\|A\varphi\|^2 &= \|(2iA\varphi - B\varphi) + B\varphi\|^2 \\ &= \|2iA\varphi - B\varphi\|^2 + \|B\varphi\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

where the right-hand side is exactly the same as

$$4\left\| \frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla\varphi + \frac{n-2}{2|x|}\varphi \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{|x|}\varphi \right\|^2, \tag{3.66}$$

while the left-hand side is rewritten as the right-hand side of (3.61). Therefore, we have proved

$$4\|\partial_r\varphi\|^2 = 4\left\| \frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla\varphi + \frac{n-2}{2|x|}\varphi \right\|^2 + (n-2)^2\left\| \frac{1}{|x|}\varphi \right\|^2, \tag{3.67}$$

which is exactly the same as (3.32). We have thus derived the standard Hardy type inequality (the uncertainty principle lemma [23]) from the orthogonality (3.64), which is equivalent to the commutation relation between the radial derivative and Coulomb potential (3.57). By (3.51), the equality (3.32) or (3.67) is also rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n \|L_j\varphi\|^2 &= \|\partial_r\varphi\|^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \left\| \frac{1}{|x|}\varphi \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla\varphi + \frac{n-2}{2|x|}\varphi \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

Appendix A. Basics of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

In this appendix, we summarize algebraic identities related to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. For this purpose, we introduce sign function $\mathrm{sgn} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathrm{sgn}\,z = \begin{cases} z/|z|, & z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}, \\ 1, & z = 0. \end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

Theorem A.1. *The following equalities hold for all $u, v \in H \setminus \{0\}$:*

$$|(u|v)| = \|u\|\|v\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|} - [\mathrm{sgn}(u|v)]\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2\right), \tag{A.2}$$

$$\pm \mathrm{Re}(u|v) = \|u\|\|v\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|} \mp \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2\right), \tag{A.3}_\pm$$

$$\pm \mathrm{Im}(u|v) = \|u\|\|v\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|} \mp i\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2\right). \tag{A.4}_\pm$$

Proof. Let $(u|v) \neq 0$. Then, we expand the square of the last norm on the right-hand side of (A.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|} - \frac{(u|v)}{|(u|v)|}\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2 &= \left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right\|^2 - 2\,\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\middle|\frac{(u|v)}{|(u|v)|}\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) + \left\|\frac{(u|v)}{|(u|v)|}\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2 \\ &= 2 - 2\frac{\mathrm{Re}[\overline{(u|v)}(u|v)]}{\|u\|\|v\||(u|v)|} \\ &= 2 - 2\frac{|(u|v)|}{\|u\|\|v\|},\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

which yields (A.2). If $(u|v) = 0$, then a similar calculation yields (A.2) in the trivial case. Equalities $(A.3)_\pm$ can be proved in the same manner as (A.5). Or they follow from (A.2) by regarding H as a real vector space with scalar product

$$\mathrm{Re}(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,) : H \times H \ni (u, v) \mapsto \mathrm{Re}(u|v) \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{A.6}$$

since the new scalar product $\mathrm{Re}(u|v)$ satisfies $\mathrm{sgn}\,\mathrm{Re}(u|v) = 1$ if and only if $\mathrm{Re}(u|v) \geq 0$ while $\mathrm{sgn}\,\mathrm{Re}(u|v) = -1$ if and only if $-\mathrm{Re}(u|v) = |\mathrm{Re}(u|v)| > 0$. Substituting v by iv in $(A.3)_\pm$ implies $(A.4)_\pm$. □

Remark A.1. *Equality (A.2) is regarded as an equality version of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*

$$|(u|v)| \leq \|u\|\|v\|. \tag{A.7}$$

Indeed, the former immediately implies the latter.

Remark A.2. *Equalities (A.2), $(A.3)_+$, and $(A.4)_+$ have been noticed by Aldaz [1, 2] and are verified by similar and simpler calculations as above, too. See also [7, 9] for related subjects.*

Corollary A.1. *The following equalities hold for all $u, v \in H \setminus \{0\}$:*

$$|(u|v)| = \|u\|\|v\| \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|} \pm \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2\right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|} \pm i\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \tag{A.8}_\pm$$

$$= \|u\|\|v\| \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|} \pm \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2\right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{u}{\|u\|} \mp i\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\|^2\right)^2\right]^{1/2}. \tag{A.9}_\pm$$

Proof. The corollary follows from $(A.3)_\pm$, $(A.4)_\pm$, and the equality

$$|(u|v)| = \left([\mathrm{Re}(u|v)]^2 + [\mathrm{Im}(u|v)]^2\right)^{1/2}. \tag{A.10}$$

□

Corollary A.1 is further generalized as:

Corollary A.2. *The following equality holds for all $u, v \in H \setminus \{0\}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$|(u|v)| = \|u\|\|v\| \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{u}{\|u\|} + e^{i\theta} \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right\|^2 \right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{u}{\|u\|} \pm i e^{i\theta} \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right\|^2 \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}. \qquad (\mathrm{A.11})_{\pm}$$

Proof. Substituting v by $e^{i\theta}v$ in $(\mathrm{A.8})_+$ [resp. $(\mathrm{A.9})_+$] yields $(\mathrm{A.11})_+$ [resp. $(\mathrm{A.11})_-$]. □

Remark A.3. *Equality $(A.8)_+$ [resp. $(A.9)_+$] follows from $(A.11)_+$ [resp. $(A.11)_-$] with $\theta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, while equality $(A.8)_-$ [resp. $(A.9)_-$] follows from $(A.11)_+$ [resp. $(A.11)_-$] with $\theta \in (2\mathbb{Z}+1)\pi$.*

Theorem A.2. *Let $u, v \in H$. Then, the statements in each of the following Parts (1)–(5) are equivalent:*

(1) (i) $\mathrm{Re}(u|v) = \pm\|u\|\|v\|$.
(ii) $\|v\|u = \pm\|u\|v$.
(iii) $(u|v) = \pm\|u\|\|v\|$.

(2) (i) $\mathrm{Im}(u|v) = \pm\|u\|\|v\|$.
(ii) $\|v\|u = \pm i\|u\|v$.
(iii) $(u|v) = \pm i\|u\|\|v\|$.

(3) (i) $|\mathrm{Re}(u|v)| = \|u\|\|v\|$.
(ii) $\mathrm{Im}(u|v) = 0$, $|(u|v)| = \|u\|\|v\|$.
(iii) $\|v\|^2 u = [\mathrm{Re}(u|v)]v$.
(iv) $\|u\|^2 v = [\mathrm{Re}(u|v)]u$.

(4) (i) $|\mathrm{Im}(u|v)| = \|u\|\|v\|$.
(ii) $\mathrm{Re}(u|v) = 0$, $|(u|v)| = \|u\|\|v\|$.
(iii) $\|v\|^2 u = [i\,\mathrm{Im}(u|v)]v$.
(iv) $\|u\|^2 v = -[i\,\mathrm{Im}(u|v)]u$.

(5) (i) $|(u|v)| = \|u\|\|v\|$.
(ii) $\|v\|u = [\mathrm{sgn}(u|v)]\|u\|v$.
(iii) $\|v\|^2 u = (u|v)v$.
(iv) $\|u\|^2 v = \overline{(u|v)}u$.

Proof. If $u = 0$ or $v = 0$, then all of the equalities in the theorem trivially hold. Therefore, we assume that $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$.

(1) The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows from $(\mathrm{A.3})_{\pm}$. Given (ii), we calculate

$$\|v\|(u|v) = (\|v\|u|v) = (\pm\|u\|v|v) = \pm\|u\|\|v\|^2, \qquad (\mathrm{A.12})$$

which implies (iii) by dividing both sides by $\|v\| > 0$. Finally, (iii) implies (i) by taking the real part of $(u|v)$.

(2) Part (2) follows from Part (1) by replacing v by iv.

(5) The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows from (A.2). Given (i) and (ii), a direct calculation yields (iii). Given (iii), we calculate

$$\|v\|^2\|u\|^2 = (\|v\|^2 u|u) = ((u|v)v|u) = (u|v)(v|u) = |(u|v)|^2, \tag{A.13}$$

which implies (i) by taking its square root. This proves the equivalence among (i)–(iii). A similar argument shows the equivalence among (i), (ii) and (iv), or it follows by exchanging u and v in the preceding argument.

(3) Given (i), we have the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\|u\|\|v\| = |\mathrm{Re}(u|v)| \leq \left([\mathrm{Re}(u|v)]^2 + [\mathrm{Im}(u|v)]^2\right)^{1/2} = |(u|v)| \leq \|u\|\|v\|, \tag{A.14}$$

where all those inequalities turn out to be equalities, which in turn imply (ii). Given (ii), we have by Part (5), which proved above

$$\|v\|^2 u = (u|v)v, \tag{A.15}$$

where the imaginary part of $(u|v)$ vanishes to imply (iii). Given (iii), we calculate

$$\|v\|^2\|u\|^2 = (\|v\|^2 u|u) = ([\mathrm{Re}(u|v)]v|u) = [\mathrm{Re}(u|v)](v|u) \tag{A.16}$$

and take its real part to obtain (i). This proves the equivalence among (i)–(iii). A similar argument shows the equivalence among (i), (ii), and (iv), or it follows by exchanging u and v in the preceding argument.

(4) Part (4) follows from Part (3) by replacing v by iv.

□

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the Top Global University Project from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan. TO is supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No. 26247014) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). KY is supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 26400406) from JSPS and by Waseda University Grants for Special Research Projects (No. 2015K-202 and No. 2016K-215).

References

[1] J. M. Aldaz, A stability version of Hölder's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **343**, 842 (2008).
[2] J. M. Aldaz, Strengthened Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder inequalities, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **10**, 116 (2009).
[3] C. Bastos, A. E. Bernardini, O. Bertolami, N. Costa Dias, and J. N. Prata, Robertson-Schrödinger-type formulation of Ozawa's noise-disturbance uncertainty principle, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 042112 (2014).
[4] C. Branciard, Error-tradeoff and error-disturbance relations for incompatible quantum measurements, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **110**, 6742 (2013).
[5] P. Busch, P. Lahti, and R. F. Werner, Quantum root-mean-square error and measurement uncertainty relations, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 1261 (2014).
[6] P. Dang, G.-T. Deng, and T. Qian, A sharper uncertainty principle, J. Funct. Anal. **265**, 2239 (2013).
[7] J. J. Duistermaat and J. A. C. Kolk, *Multidimensional Real Analysis II* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
[8] G. B. Folland and A. Sitaram, The uncertainty principle: A mathematical survey, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **3**, 207 (1997).
[9] K. Fujiwara and T. Ozawa, Stability of the Young and Hölder inequalities, J. Inequal. Appl. **2014**, 162 (2014).
[10] S. Furuichi and K. Yanagi, Schrödinger uncertainty relation, Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information and metric adjusted correlation measure, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **388**, 1147 (2012).
[11] S. J. Gustafson and I. M. Sigal, *Mathematical Concepts of Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 2011).
[12] M. Hayashi, S. Ishizaka, A. Kawachi, G. Kimura, and T. Ogawa, *Introduction to Quantum Information Science* (Springer, Berlin, 2015).
[13] A. S. Holevo, *Quantum Systems, Channels, Information: A Mathematical Introduction* (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012).

[14] F. Kaneda, S.-Y. Baek, M. Ozawa, and K. Edamatsu, Experimental test of error-disturbance uncertainty relations by weak measurement, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 020402 (2014).
[15] C. K. Ko and H. J. Yoo, Schrödinger uncertainty relation and convexity for the monotone pair skew information, Tohoku Math. J. **66**, 107 (2014).
[16] K. Korzekwa, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph, Operational constraints on state-dependent formulations of quantum error-disturbance trade-off relations, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 052108 (2014).
[17] H. Kosaki, On intersections of domains of unbounded positive operators, Kyushu J. Math. **60**, 3 (2006).
[18] S. Machihara, T. Ozawa, and H. Wadade, On the Hardy type inequalities, submitted.
[19] E. Mourre, Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators, Commun. Math. Phys. **78**, 391 (1981).
[20] M. Ozawa, Universally valid reformulation of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle on noise and disturbance in measurement, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 042105 (2003).
[21] M. Ozawa, Uncertainty relations for noise and disturbance in generalized quantum measurements, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **311**, 350 (2004).
[22] T. Ozawa and H. Sasaki, Inequalities associated with dilations, Commun. Contemp. Math. **11**, 265 (2009).
[23] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1975).
[24] M. Ringbauer, D. N. Biggerstaff, M. A. Broome, A. Fedrizzi, C. Branciard, and A. G. White, Experimental joint quantum measurements with minimum uncertainty, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 020401 (2014).
[25] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
[26] A. Tawfik and A. Diab, Generalized uncertainty principle: Approaches and applications, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**, 1430025 (2014).
[27] D. F. Walls and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum Optics*, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
[28] Y. Watanabe, *Formulation of Uncertainty Relation Between Error and Disturbance in Quantum Measurement by Using Quantum Estimation Theory* (Springer, Tokyo, 2014).